

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/627,472		KENNEDY, PAUL G.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Eric A. Gates		3722	

All Participants:

(1) Rinaldi Rada, Supervisory Patent Examiner.

(2) Michael Brown, Attorney.

Date of Interview: 8 June 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: 30

(3) Eric A. Gates, Patent Examiner.

(4) _____

Time: 11 AM and 1 PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-10

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


BOYER D. ASHLEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 1.

Restriction to one of the following inventions was requested, per 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a method for forming gear pitches on a gear blank, classified in class 409, subclass 48.
- II. Claims 9-10, drawn to system for forming gears using a tap, classified in class 409, subclass 10.

Michael Brown, the attorney for the applicant, was notified of the restriction request above at approximately 11 AM. He discussed the restriction request with the applicant and called back with the decision to elect invention I above.